

RECEIVED

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

JAN 03 2000

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:

- 1 The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- 2 The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- 3 The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- 4 The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- 5 The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- 6 The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- 7 Other put depositary in some other state.

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation. My comments for the record are:

7
(cont'd.)

This option is completely out of line, no safety precautions and a completely senseless route through our valley, not even an option as I see it, not cost effective or anything else affective. Let states where it is used make plans in their own state to discard it. Not in Nevada.

John Filippini

(1)