

DEC 01 1999

MS. KAPLAN: It is Davene Kaplan, but that's okay.
Everybody screws it up.

I stood here and I think, could it be I was here in this room 15 years ago and said I would like to go on the record personally that I oppose the nuclear dump site at Yucca Mountain. I'm standing here again at this time with kids that weren't even born, saying the same thing. This is really a no brainer. We have been duped by this process.

I agree with everything Marla, Bob, everyone has said here, ditto. Even the kids, ditto.

1 2

We do not want this, period. And looking at the EIS, it is a sham. Every standard, like Marla said, has just been changed to suit the study to be here and nowhere else.

3

I particularly am in disagreement with the ground water situation. First of all, it is really vague in the EIS. You try to look it up, you can't even find it. It's in other portions of the text. It's really scattered. But basically what I understood from it is your solution to the pollution is dilution. That's so bogus.

So I would like to see that if nothing else corrected.

Actually I would like to see the whole thing go away, but as it stands, no, it's not.

4

Another thing I would like to talk about is just the public hearing process. I don't particularly read the Gazette, but I understood that this wasn't in there.

The only reason I knew about this today was I read it in the Spanish newspaper. I'd like to give them credit for announcing it. I had to decipher it, but I realized it was here tonight. Even the news said, well, they had one this morning, but they didn't discuss that there was going to be a hearing here tonight.

I think this is purposeful. I think it is purposeful so that the public does not know what is going on. And I'd like to see that changed as well. Thank you.

(1)