

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:



RECEIVED

JAN 27 2000

- 1 The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- 2 The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- 3 The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- 4 The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- 5 The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- 6 The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- Other _____

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement describes the impacts of constructing and operating a repository, including transporting nuclear waste by legal weight truck, rail, or rail to heavy haul truck. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation.

My comments for the record are:

The DEIS has not addresses the issues we still have no idea of how the waste is going to be transported across the country. The seismic activity at Yucca Mountain should disqualify it as a site.

The impact on our tourism based economy could be enormous. This impact could affect our social service system as well as our educational system's funding.