



Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

EIS001132

Comment Sheet

RECEIVED

FFB 03 2000

Name: ANTHONY JOHN ESTRIZIO Retired Teamster

Organization: SELF

Mailing Address: 4609 SAYIN CIRCLE LAS VEGAS NV, S Zip: 89130

Telephone number (optional): (702) - 658-6970

I request that these comments be made a part of the official record.

The DEIS violates the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

- 1 There is no alternative action presented in the DEIS normally required in an EIS. The Nuclear Waste Policy Act as amended in 1987 states that "... the Secretary [of Energy] shall not be required ... to consider the need for a repository, the alternatives to geological disposal, or alternative sites to the Yucca Mountain site;". The Department of Energy (DOE) could have and was asked (1995 scoping hearings) to consider alternative actions, and yet the DOE didn't.
2 The "no-action" discussion of the DEIS is unreasonable, making on-site storage appear to be untenable. It appears as though the no-action discussion is designed to establish a "straw man" to give the preferred alternative (dump at Yucca Mt.) validity. The DEIS gives us no choice. There is no decision to be made, because the DEIS has make it for us. This is completely contrary to the intent of an EIS.

3 I AM AGAINST NUCLEAR POWER ESPECIALLY SINCE WE HAVE HAD A BETTER WAY FOR YEARS, WIND AND SOLAR POWER!. I UNDERSTAND THAT COSTS ARE A MAJOR FACTOR, BUT CONTAMINATION IS NOT WORTH SAVING MONEY, BECAUSE FUTURE COST OF CLEAN UP AND DECONTAMINATION WILL BE ASTRONOMICAL. THANK YOU Anthony John Estrizio

Please note: For your comment(s) to be considered in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, your comment(s) need to be received by the Department of Energy by February 9, 2000. To the extent practicable the Department will consider comments received after February 9.