

# Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

EIS001265

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:



RECEIVED

FEB 09 2000

- The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

## Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation.

My comments for the record are:

Dear Sirs In continuation of my letter to Abbey Johnson I would like to add that protest will not make it. This plan has already implemented so your next step is to discuss the separate way to transport this nuclear waste through your "Valley". This should be your primary reason for meeting & discussion. Being realistic about this is the only way to be, all the yes's & no's mean nothing they are only words it is action to assure the safety of this transportation that counts. As I stated in my letter to Abbey Johnson a Mono-rail would be the safest & quickest way to do this. There would be no accidents that involved with the residents. Money should not be a problem so I would suggest that all who are residents should draw up a list of what you would like done for your protection.

Time "is" probably running out so the sooner the better be prepared when the day comes! If a vote "No" was all that was required my vote would be "No" but I'm afraid that

4

is not the case.

Good Luck  
Sincerely  
Margaret Michary

Hello to all my unknown  
neighbors,

P.S. As for the storage  
of Nuclear Waste who can  
speak in positive terms?

(2)