

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2000

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:

- 1 The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- 2 The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- 3 The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- 4 The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection.
- 5 The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- 6 The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- 7 Other: The government and Nuclear Power Corporations are so short sighted and greedy they know not what they do!

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation. My comments for the record are:

8 I have been on this planet for 94 years and I have never felt fear like the fear of nuclear waste. I fear people with the kind of sick minds who keep making it when they risk killing off large portions of life on earth with it. If they can't neutralize it, how dare they make it and commit future generations to be subjected to this lethal problem. They don't have that right!

Marie E. Cocke