

RECEIVED

SEP 05 2001

9 MR. VROOM: Paul Vroom, V-R-O-O-M.
10 I think that the main thing I wanted to put
11 on the record was that the dissemination of information
12 about this meeting, I think that is insufficient. I
13 saw it on the nightly news, but that was, I got nothing
14 in the mail and I think the process should have been
15 made much more, much clearer. Should have been a
16 better effort to make us aware of the entire process of
17 voting on this and our impact potentially on the choice
18 of this site.

550666

19 Sadly, I'm just getting information now,
20 probably too late, on it. And what little information
21 I have now, what I've tried to glean from some of the
22 DOE people here tonight, it sounds, it certainly sounds
23 intelligent on the pro side. It sounds like there is
24 some good scientific data. However, it sounds like
25 there is still enough of a margin of error from, from

0081

1 what I've learned, to cause a concern.

2 So having said that, I would like to see a
3 more concerted effort to make the public aware of this
4 process, of the information, the pros and cons, to give
5 us a better opportunity maybe to make an informed
6 decision on this. If we even have an impact at this
7 point. Can you tell me if we do? You're just
8 witnesses to this?

9 DOE OFFICIAL: We're here to listen to your
10 statement primarily. But there are people outside who
11 can answer your questions, if you'd like.

12 MR. VROOM: Well, at this point, I'll wrap it
13 up. It would seem to me -- one primarily -- that we
14 need more information as citizens to be able to give
15 our opinion on this matter. And secondly, that there
16 is enough of, enough margins of error to cause me
17 concern to vote against it at this early point.

18 So that's it. Thanks.

19

550666